

Tzhone, Stephen

From: Thomas, LaWanda
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sanchez, Carlos; Tzhone, Stephen
Subject: RE: Arkwood, Inc. - Congressional Inquiry on Superfund Site in Boone County, Arkansas

Thanks,

I just need to give them a timeframe.

LaWanda

From: Sanchez, Carlos
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 1:17 PM
To: Thomas, LaWanda; Tzhone, Stephen
Subject: RE: Arkwood, Inc. - Congressional Inquiry on Superfund Site in Boone County, Arkansas

LaWanda,
We will send responses this afternoon. CAS

From: Thomas, LaWanda
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 12:58 PM
To: Tzhone, Stephen
Cc: Sanchez, Carlos
Subject: RE: Arkwood, Inc. - Congressional Inquiry on Superfund Site in Boone County, Arkansas

Thanks Stephen,

Can you estimate when it will be finalized? By the end of the week?

From: Tzhone, Stephen
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 12:18 PM
To: Thomas, LaWanda
Cc: Sanchez, Carlos
Subject: RE: Arkwood, Inc. - Congressional Inquiry on Superfund Site in Boone County, Arkansas

Hi LaWanda, the managers are reviewing the draft responses right now. fyi, here is what the managers are reviewing.

- The Superfund Information Systems EPA Superfund Site Progress Profile for Arkwood indicates the following, and I would like this information confirmed:
 - Current human exposures at this site are under control. Confirmed for pre-dioxin reassessment and pre-HQ hydro evaluation. Not confirmed currently.
 - Contaminated ground water migration is under control. Confirmed for pre-dioxin reassessment and pre-HQ hydro evaluation. Not confirmed currently.
 - Physical cleanup activities have been completed, with construction complete on June 28, 1996. Confirmed for pre-dioxin reassessment and pre-HQ hydro evaluation. Not confirmed currently.
 - The only major site cleanup milestone not yet reached is deletion from the NPL. Confirmed for pre-dioxin reassessment and pre-HQ hydro evaluation. Not confirmed currently.

- EPA has determined that the Arkwood site meets the criteria for Site-wide Ready for Anticipated Use, meaning that all cleanup goals have been achieved for both current and reasonably anticipated future land use. Confirmed for pre-dioxin reassessment and pre-HQ hydro evaluation. Not confirmed currently.
- I understand that Arkwood has a Site Score of 28.95 on the Hazard Ranking System that considers ground water migration, surface water migration, soil exposure and air migration. I also understand that the minimum site score to be listed on the NPL is 28.50. Having reviewed the current list of 1,320 Final NPL sites, I see that Arkwood is among the 1.8% of sites nationally that are within a half-point of the cut-off for listing on the NPL. Additionally, I find that the Arkwood site has the lowest Site Score for all Final NPL sites currently in EPA Region 6. While I know the Site Score is a screening tool and not a site specific risk assessment, it is the primary criterion EPA uses to determine whether a site should be placed on the NPL in the first place. As the site barely surpasses the HRS score threshold for NPL consideration, it would seem to me – from a layman’s perspective – that Arkwood would be low-hanging fruit in terms of seeing the cleanup process through to deletion from the NPL. However, nearly 25 years have transpired since Arkwood was listed as final on March 31, 1989. Recognizing that much work has transpired in the interim, I would like to know:
 - Where is this site in the clean-up process in terms of meeting the requirements for deletion from the NPL? The requirements for deletion are outlined here: http://www.epa.gov/superfund/programs/npl_hrs/ngloff.htm For this site, the dioxin reassessment and any subsequent cleanup actions must be completed. In addition, the PCP in groundwater must achieve Arkansas Water Quality Standards and corrected institutional controls must be in place.
 - What steps must be taken to complete the clean-up process and delete Arkwood from the NPL? See above.
 - What is the expected/anticipated/estimated time it will take to reach the goal of completion of the clean-up process so a decision can be made for the site to be deleted from NPL? For soil, the site dioxin reassessment is planned for completion in September 2014. Afterwards, any subsequent cleanup actions, if required by the reassessment, must be implemented as well and the timeframe for that is unknown. For groundwater, PCP must achieve Arkansas Water Quality Standards and the timeframe for that may take decades. For institutional controls, that should be completed by September 2014 assuming no complications between the landowner, EPA, and ADEQ.
 - What – if any – factors in this case have, or continue to, present obstacles to reaching a conclusion in the clean-up progress and deletion from the NPL? The main factor is the change in dioxin toxicity and goals. The second factor is that PCP in groundwater have not achieved Arkansas Water Quality Standards. The third factor is that institutional controls have not been correctly implemented.
 - Mr. Grisham has expressed concerns about apparent differences between EPA and ADEQ regarding the remedial goal for PCP levels. Are the proper standards/criteria/screening levels being applied? Currently being verified. The 1990 Record Of Decision applies Arkansas Water Quality Standards for the groundwater remedy. In November 2012, ADEQ advised EPA of changes in its standards to be applied. Then in December 2012 and August 2013, McKesson Corporation and Mr. Curt Grisham provided comments on this change respectively. ADEQ is in the process of considering their comments and will advise EPA of any changes to its position. Afterwards, EPA will make a final determination.
 - Mr. Grisham mentioned that concerns regarding dioxin levels recently developed due not to a change at that site but an EPA reassessment of dioxin toxicity. How does this factor into the clean-up progress? Please see Questions and Answers here: <http://epa.gov/superfund/health/contaminants/dioxin/dioxinsoil.html>
 - Are there any other chemicals present on site that present a concern? The contaminants at the site are: Pentachlorophenol (PCP), Dioxin, and Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (expressed as Benzo(a)pyrene equivalents).
 - What efforts are being made to accelerate/expedite this cleanup to reach the point of deletion from the NPL? This site is one of the earliest sites nationwide going through dioxin reassessment (which normally initiates at the five year review, which for this site would be July 2016).

- Mr. Grisham has cited a letter from the late 1980's that indicated at that time an expectation existed for the completion of this process to be relatively quick. In a letter dated Nov. 4, 1989, the then director of the EPA Region 6 Superfund Division stated to the Boone County judge that "However, cleanup of the groundwater New Cricket Spring, is anticipated soon. As soon as this happens EPA plans to delist the site from the NPL and return it to productive use."
 - What changed from that time to postpone the expressed optimism of the outcome, still unrealized to this day? From 1989 to present, the groundwater has not achieved its remedial goal (i.e., the Arkansas Water Quality Standards). In addition, the EPA's position on dioxin changed in 2012, which necessitated a reassessment of the soil remedy.
 - What is the timeframe for Arkwood's return to productive use? Arkwood can return to productive use at any time, provided that the remedy is not compromised. The remedy that cannot be compromised consists of addressing the soil and groundwater to numerical cleanup goals as specified in the 1990 Record of Decision (and to be updated with the dioxin reassessment) and institutional controls.
- As the primary focus of my inquiry relates to deletion from the NPL (with return to a productive use so the site can become an economic development opportunity a close second) I request that any information pertaining to the process not addressed by my questions be explained so I have a clear understanding of what is involved to bring Arkwood to that point.

From: Thomas, LaWanda
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 12:14 PM
To: Sanchez, Carlos
Cc: Tzhone, Stephen
Subject: RE: Arkwood, Inc. - Congressional Inquiry on Superfund Site in Boone County, Arkansas

Can you give me the status of the response? The staffer is asking.

Thanks,

LaWanda

From: Sanchez, Carlos
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2013 12:30 PM
To: Thomas, LaWanda; Tzhone, Stephen
Cc: Meyer, John
Subject: RE: Arkwood, Inc. - Congressional Inquiry on Superfund Site in Boone County, Arkansas

Sorry LaWanda,
I did get the sites mixed up. But Stephen will be responding to the Congressman's questions/issues.

Stephen, Please respond. Work with your team to get answers. CAS

From: Thomas, LaWanda
Sent: Tuesday, September 17, 2013 12:25 PM
To: Sanchez, Carlos
Cc: Meyer, John

Subject: FW: Arkwood, Inc. - Congressional Inquiry on Superfund Site in Boone County, Arkansas
Importance: High

Carlos,

I just got this inquiry from Cong. Womack's office per my voicemail to you. Please see below.

Call me when you are available to talk.

LaWanda, 5-7466

From: Weaver, Kyle [<mailto:Kyle.Weaver@mail.house.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, September 17, 2013 12:16 PM
To: Thomas, LaWanda
Cc: 'Hall, Russell (Pryor)'; Vogelpohl, Carl; Sherrod, Jay; 'tim.gauger@arkansas.gov'
Subject: Arkwood, Inc. - Congressional Inquiry on Superfund Site in Boone County, Arkansas
Importance: High

Ms. Thomas,

Last week, I was contacted by a Mr. CC "Curt" Grisham, Jr., of Shirley, Arkansas, about EPA Superfund Site Arkwood, Inc., in Boone County, Arkansas. (EPA ID# ARD084930148; Site ID: 0600124). Mr. Grisham related a number of concerns about the progress being made to remediate the Arkwood site so it can be eventually delisted from the NPL and returned to a productive use.

I understand that Mr. Grisham has an open and ongoing dialogue with the EPA as a family intermediary on behalf of his father CC "Bud" Grisham, Sr., executor of the Mary F. Burke Grisham Estate that owns the land where the Arkwood site is located. I also understand that the Responsible Party for this site was formerly MMI and is now McKesson Corporation. As Shirley, Arkansas, is located in Congressman Griffin's district, I have informed his office that I am making this inquiry on behalf of their constituent and will keep them aware of any information related to this issue. Additionally, I am keeping other congressional and state offices I know Mr. Grisham has contacted aware of this inquiry. However, as the site is in the Third Congressional District of Arkansas and Boone County – and its residents – would benefit from the resolution of this issue, I am making this inquiry on behalf of Congressman Steve Womack.

As I understand it in very broad terms, EPA's involvement with Arkwood began in the 1980's. Since that time, it appears that soil remediation was conducted and completed in the 90's but ground water contingency remedy is ongoing. Here are the initial questions I have:

- The Superfund Information Systems EPA Superfund Site Progress Profile for Arkwood indicates the following, and I would like this information confirmed:
 - Current human exposures at this site are under control.
 - Contaminated ground water migration is under control.
 - Physical cleanup activities have been completed, with construction complete on June 28, 1996.
 - The only major site cleanup milestone not yet reached is deletion from the NPL.
 - EPA has determined that the Arkwood site meets the criteria for Site-wide Ready for Anticipated Use, meaning that all cleanup goals have been achieved for both current and reasonably anticipated future land use.
- I understand that Arkwood has a Site Score of 28.95 on the Hazard Ranking System that considers ground water migration, surface water migration, soil exposure and air migration. I also understand that the minimum site score to be listed on the NPL is 28.50. Having reviewed the current list of 1,320 Final NPL sites, I see that Arkwood is among the 1.8% of sites nationally that are within a half-point of the cut-off for listing on the NPL. Additionally, I find that the Arkwood site has the lowest Site Score for all Final NPL sites currently in EPA Region 6. While I know the Site Score is a screening tool and not a site specific risk assessment, it is the primary

criterion EPA uses to determine whether a site should be placed on the NPL in the first place. As the site barely surpasses the HRS score threshold for NPL consideration, it would seem to me – from a layman’s perspective – that Arkwood would be low-hanging fruit in terms of seeing the cleanup process through to deletion from the NPL. However, nearly 25 years have transpired since Arkwood was listed as final on March 31, 1989.

Recognizing that much work has transpired in the interim, I would like to know:


- Where is this site in the clean-up process in terms of meeting the requirements for deletion from the NPL?
- What steps must be taken to complete the clean-up process and delete Arkwood from the NPL?
- What is the expected/anticipated/estimated time it will take to reach the goal of completion of the clean-up process so a decision can be made for the site to be deleted from NPL?
- What – if any – factors in this case have, or continue to, present obstacles to reaching a conclusion in the clean-up progress and deletion from the NPL?
 - Mr. Grisham has expressed concerns about apparent differences between EPA and ADEQ regarding the remedial goal for PCP levels. Are the proper standards/criteria/screening levels being applied?
 - Mr. Grisham mentioned that concerns regarding dioxin levels recently developed due not to a change at that site but an EPA reassessment of dioxin toxicity. How does this factor into the clean-up progress?
 - Are there any other chemicals present on site that present a concern?
- What efforts are being made to accelerate/expedite this cleanup to reach the point of deletion from the NPL?
- Mr. Grisham has cited a letter from the late 1980’s that indicated at that time an expectation existed for the completion of this process to be relatively quick. In a letter dated Nov. 4, 1989, the then director of the EPA Region 6 Superfund Division stated to the Boone County judge that “However, cleanup of the groundwater New Cricket Spring, is anticipated soon. As soon as this happens EPA plans to delist the site from the NPL and return it to productive use.”
 - What changed from that time to postpone the expressed optimism of the outcome, still unrealized to this day?
 - What is the timeframe for Arkwood’s return to productive use?
- As the primary focus of my inquiry relates to deletion from the NPL (with return to a productive use so the site can become an economic development opportunity a close second) I request that any information pertaining to the process not addressed by my questions be explained so I have a clear understanding of what is involved to bring Arkwood to that point.

I look forward to hearing from the EPA regarding the Arkwood site in response to this inquiry.

Thank you.

Kyle Weaver | *Projects Director*
Congressman Steve Womack, AR-3

p: 479-464-0446 | f: 479-464-0063 | a: 3333 Pinnacle Hills Parkway, Suite 120, Rogers, AR 72758



***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named
image001.jpg

which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers, network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at (866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****